UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,790	09/27/2005	Yuichi Marikawa	5341-57PUS	1803
27799 7590 10/13/2010 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			HAQ, NAEEM U	
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/550,790	MARIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NAEEM HAQ	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE METERS THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	ugust 2010					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
/_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6,8-10 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/2010. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 1 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Knight (US 6,344,853 B1).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6, 8-10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 7, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokomizo et al. (US 2002/0067500 A1) ("Yokomizo") in view of Knight (US 6,344,853 B1).

Referring to claims 1, 7, and 11: Yokomizo teaches an image-editing service system comprising: a network (paragraph [0002]); a server connecting to the network (paragraph [0034]); a user terminal for performing a design order connecting to the

Application/Control Number: 10/550,790

Art Unit: 3625

network (paragraph [0043]); an administrator terminal connecting to the network for receiving the design order via the server and performing an order of image-editing work based on the design order (paragraph [0212]); a worker terminal connecting to the network for performing image-editing based on the order of image-editing work and for creating an image information (paragraphs [0169] and [0170]); and, the server comprising; a database for storing the image information sent from worker terminal (paragraph [0168]); a first image generating section for generating a first image based on the image information stored in the database (paragraph [0197]); a display section for displaying an image display frame so as to display the first image in a display window of the user terminal and displaying a window information so as to display a display condition of the first image which is allowed to be input (Figure 7, "70"). Yokomizo does not teach a second image generating section for generating a second image regard to the first image based on the display condition inputted by the user terminal; and, a window information generating section for displaying the first image and the second image simultaneously. However, Knight teaches a method and apparatus that generates a second image regard to the first image based on the display condition inputted by the user terminal; and, a window information generating section for displaying the first image and the second image simultaneously (Figure 3D; Abstract, lines 1-3; col. 1, lines 7-22; col. 3, lines 1-7; col. 4, lines 12-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the invention of Yokomizo the composite imaging ability of Knight since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/550,790

Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Claim 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokomizo et al. (US 2002/0067500 A1) ("Yokomizo") in view of Knight (US 6,344,853 B1) and further in view of Hama et al. (US 4,751,507) ("Hama").

The cited prior art does not teach a display section for displaying the first image can select either a whole display or a partial display on the display window of the user terminal; wherein the window information generating section for displaying the first image and the second image simultaneously when the whole display is selected by the user terminal, while displaying a portion of the first image when the partial display is selected by the user terminal. However, Hama teaches a partial display of an image (Figure 5, "23" and "25"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the invention of Yokomizo the partial display ability if Hama since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NAEEM HAQ whose telephone number is (571)272-6758. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff A. Smith can be reached on (571)-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Naeem Haq/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

October 11, 2010